

COVERING A FINITE ABELIAN GROUP BY SUBSET SUMS

W. GAO, Y. O. HAMIDOUNE, A. LLADÓ*, O. SERRA†

Received May 16, 2000

Let G be an abelian group of order n . The critical number $c(G)$ of G is the smallest s such that the subset sums set $\Sigma(S)$ covers all G for each subset $S \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ of cardinality $|S| \geq s$. It has been recently proved that, if p is the smallest prime dividing n and n/p is composite, then $c(G) = |G|/p + p - 2$, thus establishing a conjecture of Diderrich.

We characterize the critical sets with $|S| = |G|/p + p - 3$ and $\Sigma(S) = G$, where $p \geq 3$ is the smallest prime dividing n , n/p is composite and $n \geq 7p^2 + 3p$.

We also extend a result of Diderrich and Mann by proving that, for $n \geq 67$, $|S| \geq n/3 + 2$ and $\langle S \rangle = G$ imply $\Sigma(S) = G$. Sets of cardinality $|S| \geq \frac{n+11}{4}$ for which $\Sigma(S) \neq G$ are also characterized when $n \geq 183$, the smallest prime p dividing n is odd and n/p is composite. Finally we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality $\Sigma(G) = G$ to hold when $|S| \geq n/(p+2) + p$, where $p \geq 5$, n/p is composite and $n \geq 15p^2$.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite abelian group of order $|G| \geq 3$, and let S be a subset of non-zero elements of G . A *subset sum* is the sum of distinct elements of a non-empty subset of S . As usual, we write

$$\Sigma(S) = \left\{ \sum_{x \in A} x \mid A \subseteq S, A \neq \emptyset \right\},$$

for the set of all subset sums of S .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11A75, 20K01

* Work partially supported by the Spanish Research Council under grant TIC2000-1017

† Work partially supported by the Catalan Research Council under grant 2000SGR00079

If $|S|=|G|-1$ then clearly

$$(1) \quad \Sigma(S) = G,$$

that is, the subset sums of S cover G . The *critical number* of G , denoted by $c(G)$, is the smallest s such that (1) holds for every subset $S \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$ with cardinality $|S|=s$.

The subgroup generated by a subset S of G will be denoted $\langle S \rangle$. The additive group of integers modulo n will be denoted by \mathbb{Z}_n and the letter p will always denote a prime number.

The study of the parameter $c(G)$ stems from the 1964 work of Erdős and Heilbronn [4] on the case $G=\mathbb{Z}_p$. They showed that if S is a set of non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p with $|S| \geq 3\sqrt{6p}$, then the subset sums of S , together with 0, cover \mathbb{Z}_p . This was improved by Olson [14] to $c(\mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \sqrt{4p-3}+1$. Much later, in 1994, Dias da Silva and Hamidoune [1] obtained the following result, which is essentially best possible:

Theorem A. *If p is an odd prime then*

$$c(\mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \sqrt{4p-7}.$$

The evaluation of $c(G)$ for groups with composite order was first considered in 1967 by Mann and Olson. They obtained the inequality $c(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq 2p-1$ in [12]. Mann and Wou [13] give the exact value for this case.

Theorem B. *If p is an odd prime then*

$$c(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p) = 2p - 2.$$

In 1971 Diderrich and Mann [3] obtained the following theorem which determines $c(G)$ when $|G|$ is an even composite number.

Theorem C. *Let G be an abelian group of order $2h$, where $h > 1$. Then*

$$c(G) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } h \geq 5 \text{ or } G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ h+1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Diderrich [2] proved in 1975 the following inequalities when $|G|$ is the product of two primes.

Theorem D. *Let G be an abelian group of order pq , where p and $q \geq p$ are primes. Then*

$$p + q - 2 \leq c(G) \leq p + q - 1.$$

For $|G|$ composite, let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$ and write $|G|=ph$. In [Theorems B, C and D](#) above, the smallest of the possible values of $c(G)$ is $p+h-2$. The only case not covered by these theorems is when $p>2$ and h is composite. Diderrich conjectured in the same paper [\[2\]](#) that in this case we must have $c(G)=p+h-2$. This conjecture was studied by Peng [\[16\]](#) and, more recently, by Lipkin [\[10\]](#) and by various combinations of the present authors [\[5, 9\]](#).

Finally, in 1999, Gao and Hamidoune [\[6\]](#) proved Diderrich's conjecture for all odd primes. Combining this result with [Theorem C](#) we have the following theorem.

Theorem E. *Let G be an abelian group of order ph , where p is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$ and h is composite. If $p=2$, $h=4$ and $G \neq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $c(G)=5$. In all other cases we have*

$$c(G) = p + h - 2.$$

In this paper we extend and complement the results mentioned above by giving conditions for the equality [\(1\)](#) to hold when $|S|$ is smaller than $c(G)$.

Let G be an abelian group of order n . In [Section 3](#) we show that, for $n \geq 67$ and $|S| \geq \frac{n}{3} + 2$, $\Sigma(S)=G$ if and only if S generates G . This extends a result of Diderrich and Mann [\[3\]](#), also obtained by Griggs and Poonen [\[7\]](#) which states that, for n even and at least 10, $c(G) \leq n/2$.

For groups with odd order, we shall prove sharper results. Let G be an abelian group of odd order $n=ph$, where $p \geq 3$ is the smallest prime dividing n and h is composite. In [Section 3](#) we characterize the sets S with $|S| \geq \frac{n+11}{4}$ and $\Sigma(S) \neq G$ when $n \geq 183$. In [section 4](#) we characterize the sets with the critical cardinality

$$|S| = h + p - 3 \text{ and } \Sigma(S) \neq G$$

when $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7p^2$.

In [section 5](#), we obtain a corresponding result if

$$|S| \geq \frac{n}{p+2} + p,$$

when $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 15p^2$.

2. Some tools

Recall the following well-known and easy lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group. Let X and Y be subsets of G such that $X + Y \neq G$. Then $|X| + |Y| \leq |G|$.*

We use also the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem, see for instance [11, Corollary 1.2.3].

Theorem 2.2 (Cauchy–Davenport). *Let p be a prime number. Let X and Y be non-empty subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p . Then $|X + Y| \geq \min(p, |X| + |Y| - 1)$.*

For convenience we summarize the Theorems stated in the Introduction in the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be an abelian group with order $n = ph$, where p is the smallest prime dividing n and $h \geq 1$. Let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|S| = h + p - 2 + \delta(h)$, where $\delta(h) = 1$ if h is a prime or $h = 4$ and $\delta(h) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\Sigma(S) = G$.*

Proof. If h is a prime, the result follows from Theorem D. If h is composite, it follows from Theorem E. ■

Let G be an abelian group and $S \subset G \setminus \{0\}$. For technical reasons we shall deal with

$$\Sigma_0(S) = \left\{ \sum_{x \in T} x \mid T \subset S \right\},$$

so that $\Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma(S) \cup \{0\}$. The following result proved by Olson [15] shows that $\Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma(S)$ for $|S| \geq 3\sqrt{|G|}$.

Lemma 2.4 (Olson [15]). *Let S be a subset of an abelian group G of order n . If $|S| \geq 3\sqrt{n}$ then $0 \in \Sigma(S)$.*

Let $B \subseteq G$ and $x \in G$. As usual, we write

$$\lambda_B(x) = |(B + x) \setminus B|.$$

Let S be a nonempty subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ and $y \in S$. Put $B = \Sigma(S)$. Olson [14] proved:

$$(2) \quad |\Sigma_0(S)| \geq |\Sigma_0(S \setminus y)| + \lambda_B(y).$$

We shall use also the following result of Olson.

Lemma 2.5 (Olson [15]). *Let G be an abelian group and let S be a generating subset of G such that $0 \notin S$. Let B be a subset of G such that $|B| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$. Then there is $x \in S$ such that*

$$(3) \quad \lambda_B(x) \geq \min\left(\frac{|B| + 1}{2}, \frac{|S \cup -S| + 2}{4}\right).$$

This result follows by applying Lemma 3.1 of [15] to $S \cup -S$.

We use the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.6 ([8]). *Let S be a subset of an abelian group G with $0 \notin S$. Then*

$$|\Sigma_0(S)| \geq \min(|G| - 1, 2|S| - 1).$$

Moreover, if $S \cap -S = \emptyset$, then $|\Sigma_0(S)| \geq 2|S|$.

Lemma 2.7 ([9]). *Let S be a subset of an abelian group G such that $0 \notin S$ and $14 \leq |S|$. Then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $|\Sigma_0(S)| \geq \min(|G| - 3, 3|S| - 3)$.
- (ii) *There is a subgroup $H \neq G$ such that $|S \cap H| \geq |S| - 1$.*

Let X be a subset of G with cardinality k . Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be an ordering of X . For $0 \leq i \leq k$, set

$$X_i = \{x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq i\} \text{ and } B_i = \Sigma_0(X_i).$$

The ordering $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is called a *resolving sequence* of X if, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\lambda_{B_i}(x_i) = \max\{\lambda_{B_i}(x_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i\}.$$

The *critical index* of the resolving sequence is the largest integer $t \in [1, k + 1]$ such that X_{t-1} generates a proper subgroup of G . Clearly, every nonempty subset S has a resolving sequence.

We need the following basic property of resolving sequences which is implicit in [6].

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be a generating subset of an abelian group G such that $X \cap -X = \emptyset$ and $2|\Sigma_0(X)| \leq |G|$. Let the ordering $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a resolving sequence of X with critical index t . Then, there is a subset $V \subset X$ such that $|V| = t - 1$, $\langle V \rangle \neq G$ and*

$$(4) \quad |\Sigma_0(X)| \geq 4|V| + \frac{(|X| + |V| + 5)(|X| - |V| - 1) - 2}{4}$$

Proof. This is essentially formula (4) of [6]. By using inequality (2) we have

$$|\Sigma_0(X)| \geq \lambda_{B_k}(x_k) + \dots + \lambda_{B_{t+1}}(x_{t+1}) + |B_t|.$$

By Lemma 3 we have $\lambda_{B_i}(x_i) \geq \lceil \frac{i+1}{2} \rceil$ for each $i \geq t$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, we have $|B_{t-1}| \geq 2(t-1)$. By the definition of t , we then have $|B_t| \geq |B_{t-1}| + |x_t + B_{t-1}| = 2|B_{t-1}| \geq 4(t-1)$. By taking $V = X_{t-1}$, we have the claimed inequality. ■

The following Lemma provides a class of sets whose subset sums do not cover the host group.

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a finite abelian group with order n . Let H be a proper subgroup of G and S a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$.*

If $\Sigma_0(S \setminus H) + H \neq G$ then

(i) $\Sigma_0(S) \neq G$.

(ii) If $|G|/|H|$ is a prime, then

$$|S \setminus H| \leq \frac{|G|}{|H|} - 2.$$

Moreover, if $|S \setminus H| = \frac{|G|}{|H|} - 2 > 0$, then there is $y \notin H$ such that

$$S \subseteq H \cup (y + H) \cup (-y + H).$$

Proof. We have $\Sigma_0(S) \neq G$, since otherwise

$$G = \Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma_0(S \cap H) + \Sigma_0(S \setminus H) \subseteq H + \Sigma_0(S \setminus H).$$

Assume now that $q = |G|/|H|$ is a prime and $S \not\subseteq H$.

By \bar{x} we shall mean $\phi(x)$, where $\phi: G \rightarrow G/H$ is the canonical morphism. Put $S \setminus H = \{a_1, \dots, a_j\}$. From our assumption we have $\Sigma_0(\overline{S \setminus H}) \neq G/H$. By the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem,

$$|\Sigma_0(\overline{S \setminus H})| = |\{0, \bar{a}_1\} + \dots + \{0, \bar{a}_j\}| \geq \min(q, j+1).$$

It follows that $j \leq q-2$.

Assume now $j = q-2$. If there is i such that $\bar{a}_i \notin \{\bar{a}_1, -\bar{a}_1\}$, say $i = 2$, then $|\{0, \bar{a}_1\} + \{0, \bar{a}_2\}| = 4$. By the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem, $|\{0, \bar{a}_1\} + \dots + \{0, \bar{a}_{q-2}\}| \geq 3 + \min(q, q-3)$. This contradiction proves the last part of the Lemma. \blacksquare

3. Subsets with cardinality $\geq |G|/4$

Suppose that G is an abelian group of order $n > 3$ and let $S \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ with $|S| \geq n/3 + 2$. If n is a prime number then $|S| \geq \lfloor \sqrt{4n-7} \rfloor$ and, by Theorem A, $\Sigma(S) = G$. If n is composite with smallest prime divisor $p \geq 3$, then $|S| \geq n/p + p - 1$ and, by Theorems D and E, we also have $\Sigma(S) = G$. We next show that these results can be extended to all abelian groups of order $n \geq 67$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an abelian group of order $n \geq 67$ and let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|S| \geq \frac{n}{3} + 2$. Then $\Sigma(S) = G$ if and only if $\langle S \rangle = G$.*

Proof. Suppose first $\Sigma(S) = G$. Since $\Sigma(S) \subseteq \langle S \rangle$, we have $\langle S \rangle = G$.

Suppose now $\langle S \rangle = G$.

Assume first that there is a subgroup $H \neq G$ such that $|H \cap S| \geq |S| - 3$. Since $|H| \geq n/3$ we have $|G| = q|H|$ with $q \in \{2, 3\}$. Choose $a \in (S \cap H)$ and set $S_1 = (S \cap H) \setminus \{a\}$. Since $n \geq 24$ we have $|S_1| \geq (n/2)/2 \geq |H|/2$. By Lemma 2.6, $|\Sigma_0(S_1)| \geq |H| - 1$ and, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\Sigma_0(S \cap H) = \Sigma_0(S_1) + \{0, a\} = H.$$

Since S generates G we have $|S \setminus H| \geq 1$ and, if $q = 3$, then $|S \setminus H| \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.9 (ii) we have $G = \Sigma_0(S \setminus H) + H = \Sigma_0(S \setminus H) + \Sigma_0(S \cap H)$, a contradiction.

So we may assume that, for every subgroup $H \neq G$, $|H \cap S| \leq |S| - 4$. We may choose distinct elements $x, x' \in S$, such that $x \neq -x'$. By Lemma 2.7, $|\Sigma_0(S \setminus \{x, x'\})| \geq \min(n - 3, 3|S| - 9) = n - 3$. By Lemma 2.1, we have again $\Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma_0(S \setminus \{x, x'\}) + \{0, x, x', x + x'\} = G$.

Since $n \geq 67$, Lemma 2.4 implies $\Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma(S)$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

The next result improves Theorem 3.1 for groups with odd order.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group of order $n \geq 183$. Assume $\frac{n}{p}$ composite, where $p \geq 3$ is the smallest prime dividing n . Let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|S| \geq \frac{n+11}{4}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $\Sigma(S) \neq G$.

(ii) There is a subgroup H of order $\frac{n}{3}$ such that $|S \setminus H| \leq 1$.

Proof. Obviously, (ii) implies (i).

Suppose $\Sigma(S) \neq G$. Since $n \geq 121$ we have $|S| \geq 3\sqrt{n}$ and, by Lemma 2.4, $\Sigma(S) = \Sigma_0(S)$.

Set $k = \lfloor \frac{|S|}{2} \rfloor$. We shall show that there is $X \subset S$ such that $|X| = k$, $X \cap -X = \emptyset$ and

$$(5) \quad 2|\Sigma_0(X)| \leq n.$$

Let S' be a subset of S such that $|S'| = 2k$. Clearly we may partition $S' = X \cup Z$ such that $|X| = |Z| = k$ and $X \cap -X = Z \cap -Z = \emptyset$. Since $\Sigma_0(S) \neq G$, we have by Lemma 2.1, $|\Sigma_0(X)| + |\Sigma_0(Z)| \leq n$. Therefore, we may assume that X verifies (5).

Suppose that $\langle X \rangle = G$. By Corollary 2.8 there is $V \subset X$ such that $\langle V \rangle \neq G$ verifying (4). Put $v = |V|$.

By (5) and (4), we have

$$16v + (k - v - 1)(k + v + 5) - 2n - 2 \leq 0.$$

Since $k \geq \frac{|S|-1}{2} \geq \frac{n}{8}$, we have $16v + (\frac{n}{8} - v - 1)(\frac{n}{8} + v + 5) - 2n - 2 \leq 0$. Therefore

$$v^2 - 10v - (1/64)n^2 + (3/2)n + 7 \geq 0$$

It follows that either $v \leq 5 - \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{n^2 - 96n + 1152}$ or $v \geq 5 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{n^2 - 96n + 1152}$. Since $v \geq 0$ and $n \geq 184$, we have $v \geq 5 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{n^2 - 96n + 1152} > \frac{n}{9} + 1$.

Let H be the subgroup generated by V . Since $|H| \geq 2|V| + 1 > 2n/9$ and n is odd, then $|G|/|H| = 3$. Let p' be the smallest prime dividing $|H|$. We have $|V| > \frac{n}{9} + 1$. Hence, $|V| \geq \frac{n}{pp'} + p' - 1 \geq \frac{|H|}{p'} + p' - 1$. By Lemma 2.3, $\Sigma(V) = H$.

We have $\Sigma_0(S \setminus H) + H \neq G$, since otherwise $G = \Sigma_0(V) + \Sigma_0(S \setminus H) \subseteq \Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma(S)$. By Lemma 2.9, $|S \setminus H| \leq 1$. This proves (ii) in this case.

Suppose that X generates a proper subgroup H of G . Since $|H| \geq 2|X| + 1 > n/4$ and n is odd we have $|H| = n/3$. Let p' be the smallest prime dividing $|H|$. We have $|X| \geq (|S| - 1)/2 > n/8 \geq \frac{n}{pp'} + p' - 1$. By Lemma 2.3, $\Sigma_0(X) = H$. By Lemma 2.9, $|S \setminus H| \geq 1$. This completes the proof. ■

4. Extremal sets

In this Section we shall determine the structure of the sets $S \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ for which $\Sigma(S) \neq G$ and S has the critical cardinality $h + p - 3$, where $|G| = ph$ and p is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. By Theorem 3.2 we only need to consider the case when $p \geq 5$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group with order $n = ph$, where $p \geq 5$ is the smallest prime dividing n . Also assume that h is composite and $h \geq 7p + 3$. Let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|S| = h + p - 3$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $\Sigma(S) \neq G$.

(ii) There are a subgroup H of order h and $y \notin H$ such that

$$(H \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq S \text{ and } S \subseteq H \cup (y + H) \cup (-y + H).$$

Proof. Obviously, (ii) implies (i).

Suppose $\Sigma(S) \neq G$. By Lemma 2.4, $|S|^2 > 9ph$ implies $\Sigma(S) = \Sigma_0(S)$.

Set $k(n) = (|S| - 1)/2 = \frac{n+p^2}{2p} - 2$. We shall write sometimes k instead of $k(n)$.

We shall show that there is $X \subset S$ such that $|X| = k$, $X \cap -X = \emptyset$ and

$$(6) \quad 2|\Sigma_0(X)| + \frac{k}{2} + 1 \leq n.$$

Clearly, we may partition $S = U \cup V$ such that $|U| = |V| - 1 = k$ and $U \cap -U = V \cap -V = \emptyset$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $|\Sigma(V)| \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Put $C = \Sigma_0(V)$. By (3), there is $y \in V$ such that $\lambda_C(y) \geq \frac{k+2}{2}$. Then (2) implies $|\Sigma_0(V)| \geq |\Sigma_0(V \setminus \{y\})| + \frac{k}{2} + 1$.

Since $G \neq \Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma_0(U) + \Sigma_0(V)$ we have, by Lemma 2.1, $|\Sigma_0(U)| + |\Sigma_0(V \setminus \{y\})| + \frac{k}{2} + 1 \leq n$.

Case 2. $|\Sigma_0(V)| > \frac{n}{2}$.

By Lemma 2.1, $|\Sigma_0(U)| \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Put $E = \Sigma_0(U)$. By (3), there is $y \in V$, such that $\lambda_E(y) \geq \frac{k+2}{2}$. Therefore, $|\Sigma_0(U \cup \{y\})| = |\Sigma_0(U)| + \lambda_E(y) \geq |\Sigma_0(U)| + \frac{k}{2} + 1$.

By Lemma 2.1, $G \neq \Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma_0(U \cup \{y\}) + \Sigma_0(V \setminus \{y\})$ implies $|\Sigma_0(U)| + |\Sigma(V \setminus \{y\})| + \frac{k}{2} + 1 \leq n$.

In both cases, one of the sets $U, V \setminus \{y\}$, verifies (6). Let us denote this set by X .

We have $|\langle X \rangle| \geq |X \cup -X \cup \{0\}| = 2k + 1 = \frac{n}{p} + p - 3$. Therefore X generates G .

By Corollary 2.8, there is $V \subset G$ such that $\langle V \rangle \neq G$ verifying (4). Put $v = |V|$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} F(v, n) &= 8v - n + \frac{(k(n) + v + 5)(k(n) - v - 1) + k(n)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-v^2 + 10v + k^2(n) + 5k(n) - 2n - 5). \end{aligned}$$

By (6) and (4), we have

$$(7) \quad F(v, n) \leq 0.$$

Let us show that $v \geq 5$. Suppose on the contrary that $0 \leq v \leq 4$. We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} F(v, n) = \frac{n-3p^2+p}{4p^2} > 0$. Therefore, since $n > 7p^2$, we have $0 \geq F(v, n) \geq F(0, n) > F(0, 7p^2) = p^2 + 2p - \frac{11}{2} > 0$, a contradiction.

Let us show that

$$(8) \quad v > \frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2.$$

Assume the contrary. Since $v \geq 5$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} F(v, n) = 5 - v \geq 0$, then $G(n) = F(\frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2, n) \leq F(v, n)$. By (7) we have

$$(9) \quad G(n) \leq 0.$$

Using $n \geq 7p^2$, we have $4p^2 G'(n) = n(p^2 - 4) - p^2(3p^2 + 3p - 28) \geq p^3(4p - 3) > 0$. Then, $G(n) \geq G(7p^2) = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + 4p + 14) > 0$ contradicting (9).

Let H be the subgroup generated by V and let p' be the smallest prime dividing $|H|$. By (8), $|V| > \frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2 \geq \frac{n}{pp'} + p' - 2$. By Lemma 2.3, $\Sigma_0(V) = H$.

Since $|H| > \frac{n}{p^2}$, we see easily that $q = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$ is a prime. Since $G \neq \Sigma_0(V) + \Sigma_0(S \setminus H)$, we have $G \neq H + \Sigma_0(S \setminus H)$. By Lemma 2.9, $|S \setminus H| \leq q - 2$.

We have

$$\frac{n}{q} = |H| \geq |S \cap H| + 1 \geq \frac{n}{p} + p - 3 - (q - 2) + 1 = \frac{n}{p} + p - q,$$

which implies $p = q$ and $\frac{n}{p} = |H| = |S \cap H| + 1$. Hence, $|S \setminus H| = p - 2$. Then Lemma 2.9 implies (ii). ■

5. Large sets

The characterization of large sets $S \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ for which $\Sigma(S) \neq G$ can be accomplished in a similar way to the above results with some additional restrictions on n and its smaller prime divisor. More precisely, we prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group with order $n = ph$, where $p \geq 5$ is the smallest prime dividing n . Assume that $n \geq 15p^2$ and that h is composite.*

Let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|S| \geq \frac{n}{p+2} + p$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $\Sigma(S) \neq G$.
- (ii) *There is a subgroup H of order h such that*

$$|S \setminus H| \leq p - 2 \text{ and } \Sigma(S \setminus H) + H \neq G.$$

Proof. Obviously (ii) implies (i).

Suppose $\Sigma(S) \neq G$. By lemma 2.4, $n \geq 15p^2$ implies $\Sigma(S) = \Sigma_0(S)$.

Put $k_0 = \lfloor |S|/2 \rfloor$. Let S' be a subset of S with cardinality $2k_0$ and partition S' into two sets $S' = X \cup Z$ such that $|X| = |Z| = k_0$ and $X \cap -X = Z \cap -Z = \emptyset$. Since $G \neq \Sigma_0(S') = \Sigma_0(X) + \Sigma_0(Y)$ we have, by Lemma 2.1, $|\Sigma_0(X)| + |\Sigma_0(Z)| \leq n$. Therefore we may assume that

$$(10) \quad 2|\Sigma_0(X)| \leq n.$$

Suppose that X generates a proper subgroup H of G . Since $|H| \geq 2|X| + 1 \geq \frac{n}{p+2} + p$ and n is odd, we have $|H| = n/p$.

Let p' be the smallest prime dividing $|H|$. Since $|X| \geq \frac{n}{pp'} + p' - 1$, we have $\Sigma(X) = H$. We have $\Sigma_0(S \setminus H) + H \neq G$, since otherwise $G = \Sigma(X) + \Sigma_0(S \setminus H) \subseteq \Sigma_0(S) = \Sigma(S)$. By [Lemma 2.9](#),

$$|S \setminus H| \leq p - 2.$$

This proves (ii) when $\langle X \rangle \neq G$.

Suppose now that $\langle X \rangle = G$. By [Corollary 2.8](#) there is $V \subset G$ such that $\langle V \rangle \neq G$ verifying (4). Put $v = |V|$ and let $F_0(v, n, r) = 16v + (r - v - 1)(r + v + 5) - 2n - 2$. By (10) and (4), we have

$$F_0(v, n, k_0(n)) = 16v + (k_0 - v - 1)(k_0 + v + 5) - 2n - 2 \leq 0.$$

Set $k(n) = \frac{n+p^2+p+1}{2(p+2)}$. We have $k(n) < k_0(n)$. We shall write sometimes k instead of $k(n)$. We clearly have $F(v, n) = F_0(v, n, k(n)) \leq F_0(v, n, k_0(n))$. Therefore

$$(11) \quad 0 \geq F(v, n) = -v^2 + 10v + k^2(n) + 4k(n) - 2n - 7.$$

We shall show that $v \geq 5$. Suppose on the contrary that $0 \leq v \leq 4$. We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} F(v, n) = 2k(n)k'(n) + 4k'(n) - 2 > 0$ for $n \geq 15p^2$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} F(v, n) = 10 - 2v > 0$. Now, by (11), we have

$$0 \geq F(v, n) \geq F(0, n) \geq F(0, 15p^2) = \frac{136p^4 - 320p^3 - 211p^2 - 86p - 95}{4(p+2)^2}.$$

Using $p \geq 3$ we easily see that the right hand side is positive, a contradiction.

Let us now show that

$$(12) \quad v > \frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2.$$

Assume the contrary and set $G(n) = F(\frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2, n)$. Since $5 \leq v \leq \frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} F(v, n) = 10 - 2v$, (11) implies

$$(13) \quad G(n) \leq 0.$$

We have $2(p+2)^2 p^4 G'(n) = n(p^4 - 4p^2 - 16p - 16) - p^2(3p^4 - 15p^3 + 5p^2 + 96p + 112)$. Using $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 15p^2$, $2(p+2)^2 p^4 G'(n) \geq 2(p+2)^2 p^4 G'(15p^2) = p^2(12p^4 + 15p^3 - 65p^2 - 144p + 352) > 0$. It follows that

$$0 \geq G(n) \geq G(15p^2) = \frac{132p^4 - 400p^3 - 639p^2 - 966p - 719}{4(p+2)^2} > 0,$$

a contradiction.

Let H be the subgroup generated by V and let p' be the smallest prime dividing $|H|$. By (12), $|V| > \frac{n}{p^2} + p - 2 \geq \frac{n}{pp'} + p' - 2$. By [Lemma 2.3](#), $\Sigma(V) = H$.

Since $|H| > \frac{n}{p^2}$, we see easily that $q = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$ is a prime. We have $\Sigma(S \setminus H) + H \neq G$, since otherwise $G = \Sigma(V) + \Sigma(S \setminus H) \subseteq \Sigma(S)$. By [Lemma 2.9](#),

$$|S \setminus H| \leq q - 2.$$

We have $q = p$, since otherwise,

$$|S| \leq \frac{n}{q} + q - 3 \leq \frac{n}{p+2} + p - 1,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions and remarks which led to an improved version of the paper.

References

- [1] J. A. DIAS DA SILVA and Y. O. HAMIDOUNE: Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1994), 140–146.
- [2] G. T. DIDERRICH: An addition theorem for abelian groups of order pq , *J. Number Theory* **7** (1975), 33–48.
- [3] G. T. DIDERRICH and H. B. MANN: Combinatorial problems in finite abelian groups, In: “*A survey of Combinatorial Theory*” (J. L. Srivasta et al. Eds.), pp. 95–100, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1973).
- [4] P. ERDŐS and H. HEILBRONN: On the Addition of residue classes mod p , *Acta Arith.* **9** (1964), 149–159.
- [5] W. GAO: *On the size of additive bases of finite groups*, Preprint, October 1997.
- [6] W. GAO and Y. O. HAMIDOUNE: On additive bases, *Acta Arith.* **88(3)** (1999), 233–237.
- [7] J. R. GRIGGS and B. POONEN: *Subset Sums for Finite Abelian Groups*, Preprint.
- [8] Y. O. HAMIDOUNE: Adding distinct congruence classes, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **7** (1998), 81–87.
- [9] Y. O. HAMIDOUNE, A. S. LLADÓ and O. SERRA: On sets with a small subset sum, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **8** (1999), 461–466.
- [10] E. LIPKIN: Subset sums of sets of residues, *Structure Theory of Set Addition, Astérisque* **258** (1999), 187–192.
- [11] H. B. MANN: *Addition Theorems*, R. E. Krieger, New York, 1976.
- [12] H. B. MANN and J. E. OLSON: Sums of sets of elements in the elementary abelian group of type (p, p) , *J. Comb. Theory* **2** (1967), 275–284.
- [13] H. B. MANN and Y. F. WOU: Addition theorem for the elementary abelian group of type (p, p) , *Mh. Math.* **102** (1986), 273–308.
- [14] J. E. OLSON: An addition theorem mod p , *J. Comb. Theory* **5** (1968), 45–52.
- [15] J. E. OLSON: Sum of sets of group elements, *Acta Arith.* **28** (1975), 147–156.

- [16] C. PENG: An addition theorems in elementary abelian groups, *J. Number Theory* **27** (1987), 58–62.

W. Gao

*Department of Computer Science
and Technology*

University of Petroleum

Beijing

102200, China

wdgao@public.fhnet.cn.net

Y. O. Hamidoune

Université P. et M. Curie

E. Combinatoire

Case 189, 4 Place Jussieu

75005 Paris

France

yha@ccr.jussieu.fr

A. Lladó

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Dept. of Applied Mathematics

Jordi Girona, 1

E-08034 Barcelona

Spain

allado@mat.upc.es

O. Serra

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Dept. of Applied Mathematics

Jordi Girona, 1

E-08034 Barcelona

Spain

oserra@mat.upc.es